IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ELIPE DHARMA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 12523 of 2005

Between:

Thunga Sree Hari S/o.Thunga Swamy Sathhaiah @ Thunga Swamy,
Old-aged/umemployed, R/o.H.No.4-1-2/2,
King Koti, Behind Music College, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue writ order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus declaring the act of the respondent in not disposing of the Revision petition No.9387/2003 filed before the respondent on 23-11-2003 in a speedy manner, considering the petitioner's old age, is illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and violation of Articles 14 and 19 (1) (g) of the Indian Constitution and Principles of Natural Justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.SURYANARAYANA CHARI

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

*The Court at the stage of admission made the following***:** (order follows 2nd page)

O R D E R:

This writ petition is filed to declare the act of the respondent in not disposing of the Revision Petition No.9387/2003 filed by him before the respondent on 23-11-2003 in a speedy manner, considering his old age as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Article 14 and 19 (1) (g) of the Constitution of India.

The case of the petitioner is that he is aged about 75 years and his wife is 70 years respectively are suffering with ailments and he filed Revision Petition No.9387/2003 before the respondent on 21-11-2003 but so far no action is taken. The sons of the petitioner are eking out their livelihood from a small cloth shop. If the above revision is not disposed of at the earliest, M/s.Jana Chaitanya Housing Private Limited, Hyderabad is making attempt to sell away the land to others on papers and creating problems on the land and there will be multifarious litigation, which he cannot withstand at this old. The request of the petitioner for speedy disposal of the above revision petition is not considered in spite of his old age.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the Govt.Pleader for Revenue.

It is brought to the notice of this court that the Revision Petition filed by the petitioner is directed to be heard on 01-7-2005 for consideration and to that effect the petitioner was also sent notice intimating the said fact. In those circumstances, I see no reason to direct the respondent to dispose of the aforesaid revision.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

JUNE15th, 2005. ----------

I S L. ELIPE DHARMA RAO , J.

To

1 The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District.

2 Two C.Cs. to G.P. for Revenue,

High Court Buildings, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)

3 Two CD Copies.